

Assessment sheet for joint research and development projects

Criterion 1. Quality and scientific/ technological relevance of the proposal.	Score maximum	Score given (multiple of 0.1)
<p>1.1 Presentation of the top achievements in the field and of the current problems; the quality of information and the references used</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	
<p>1.2 The main purpose of the project; framing of the project objectives in the international context and in the topic of IFA-CEA call; the clarity in the formulation of specific / measurable objectives during the project implementation.</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	
<p>1.3 Detailed description of the project (methodology, equipments, etc.)</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	
<p>1.4 Description of the involvement of young researchers</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	0.5	
<p>1.5 The novelty and the degree of inter/multi-disciplinary.</p>	1	

Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):		
1.6 The commitment concerning the expected results to be obtained during the project duration (number and quality of ISI articles, conferences, patents, etc.) Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	0.5	
Total score for Criterion 1	5	
Criterion 2. Qualification and expertise of the teams.	Score maximum	Score given (multiple of 0.1)
2.1 Scientific competence of the project manager evaluated on the base of published papers in ISI journals, technology, patents, etc. in the project field. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1.5	
2.2 The management experience of the project manager within national and international projects/grants Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
2.3 Scientific competence of members of the research team in the project field and the expertise accumulated in the national and international research projects. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	

<p>2.4 Special highlights, awards and distinctions obtained by members of the research team.</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	0.5	
<p>2.5 Expertise gained through research stages carried out by the team members in the institutes/universities internationally recognized.</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	0.5	
<p>2.6 Degree of specialization and professional complementarities of the research team members</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	0.5	
Total score for Criterion 2	5	
Criterion 3. Compliance with national priorities/strategies in the field.	Score maximum	Score given (multiple of 0.1)
<p>3.1 Framing of the project theme in the Romanian priorities/strategies</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	

3.2 Framing of the project theme in the CEA priorities/strategies Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
3.3 Framing of the project theme in the UE and international priorities/strategies Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
3.4 Correlation with the strategies in the related fields Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
3.5 The level of information and quality of the references used. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
Total score for Criterion 3	5	
Criterion 4. Potential impact of the project quantified through the development, dissemination and use results.	Score maximum	Score given (multiple of 0.1)
4.1 Description of the scientific/technological impact concerning the commitments mentioned in the project and contribution to the	1	

<p>development of the research field .</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>		
<p>4.2 Educational impact quantified by preparation/finalization of Ph D and/or MSc thesis</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	
<p>4.3 Economic impact expected and measured through technological transfers and services related to economic and business environment</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	
<p>4.4 Environmental impact, measured by the procedures used for activities leading to low levels of pollution</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	
<p>4.5 Organizing activities to disseminate the results obtained during the realization of the project</p> <p>Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	

Total score for Criterion 4	5	
Criterion 5 Sustainability of the cooperation	Score maximum	Score given (multiple of 0.1)
5.1 Development of the cooperation through research programs, research stages and visits between partners. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
5.2 Stimulation of the access to or use of the national and international facilities Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
5.3 The degree of complementary of Romanian and French teams quantified by the human resource expertise, used equipments, etc. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
5.4 Prospects for the further development of the research project Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
5.5. Further prospects of joint participation in the international projects of UE of the type FP7, FP8, etc. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	

Total score for Criterion 5	5	
Criterion 6. Quality and efficiency of the project work plan and financial plan	Score maximum	Score given (multiple of 0.1)
6.1 Clarity and coherence of the project implementation plan with breakdown activities Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
6.2 A clear description of the activities carried out by each partner. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
6.3 The degree of justification of the budget proposal for the realization of the project depending on the activities and the results estimated to be obtained Justification of given score and proposal if it is needed another budget to be considered more appropriate/realistic (minimum 250 characters):	1	
6.4 Relevance/opportunity of the equipments to be used/purchased in the project. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	

6.5 Efficiency of the project implementation plan quantified by estimated results that are reported to the allocated resources Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
Total score for Criterion 6	5	
TOTAL SCORE OF PROJECT	30	

Assessor:

Date: