

Assessment sheet for joint projects on support actions

Criterion 1. Quality and/or scientific/ technological relevance of the proposal.	Score maximum	Score given (multiple of 0.1)
<p>1.1 Presentation of the top achievements in the field and of the current problems; the quality of information and the references used</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	
<p>1.2 The main purpose of the project; framing of the project objectives in the international context and in the topic of IFA-CEA call; the clarity in the formulation of specific / measurable objectives during the project implementation.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	
<p>1.3 Detailed description of the project to be submitted within the EU programme (concept and project objectives, methodology, work plan, milestones, expected results, equipment, estimated budget, etc.).</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	
<p>1.4 Description of the involvement of young researchers within the project to be submitted</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	0.5	
<p>1.5 The novelty and the degree of inter/multi-disciplinary of the project to be submitted</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	1	
<p>1.6 The commitment concerning the expected results to be obtained during the project duration (number and quality of ISI articles, conferences, patents, etc.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):</p>	0.5	

Total score for Criterion 1	5	
Criterion 2. Qualification and expertise of the teams.	Score maximum	Score given (multiple of 0.1)
2.1 Scientific competence of the project manager, evaluated on the base of published papers in ISI journals, technologies, patents, etc. in the project field. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
2.2 The management experience of the project director within national and international projects/grants Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
2.3 Scientific competence of members of the research team in the project field and the expertise accumulated in the national and international research projects. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
2.4 Degree of specialization and professional complementarities of the research team members; special highlights, awards and distinctions obtained by members of the research team. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
2.5 Interest in the collaboration and the degree of participation of the laboratories involved in the project to be submitted. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
Total score for Criterion 2	5	

Criterion 3. Relevance to international specific objectives or to EU programme / initiative	Score maximum	Score given (multiple of 0.1)
3.1 Presentation of the call launched by European Union (EU) Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
3.2 Relevance of the project proposal and of the partnership to the specific objectives of the international call launched by the EU. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
3.3 Dissemination and/or utilization of the results obtained within the project to be submitted Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
3.4 Relevance / appropriateness of the proposed equipment to be used / purchased in the context of the project proposal to be submitted, transnational access to experimental facility and / or services required to achieve the international specific objectives or for the international program initiated by the EU. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
3.5 Development of the cooperation through research stages and visits between partners, including young people involved in the project proposal to be submitted. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
Total score for Criterion 3	5	
Criterion 4. Compliance with national priorities/strategies in the field.	Score maximum	Score given (multiple of 0.1)
4.1 Framing of the project theme in the Romanian priorities/strategies	1	

Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):		
4.2 Framing of the project theme in the CEA priorities/strategies Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
4.3 Framing of the project theme in the UE and international priorities/strategies Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
4.4 Correlation with the strategies in the related fields Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
4.5 The level of information and quality of the references used. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
Total score for Criterion 4	5	
Criterion 5. Potential impact of the project quantified through the development, dissemination and use results.	Score maximum	Score given (multiple of 0.1)
5.1 Description of the scientific/technological impact concerning the commitments mentioned in the project and the contribution to the development of the research addressed. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
5.2 Educational impact quantified by preparation/finalization of Ph D and/or MSc thesis Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	

5.3 Economic impact expected and measured through technological transfers and services related to economic and business environment Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
5.4 Environmental impact, measured by the procedures used for activities leading to low levels of pollution Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
5.5 The prospect of further development of the research addressed within the project for participation in other projects initiated by the EU. Justification of given score (minimum 250 characters):	1	
Total score for Criterion 5	5	
TOTAL SCORE OF PROJECT	25	

Assessor:

Date:

Signature: